


Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report (2025-2026) for the Curriculum Feedback for Academic Year (2024-2025)

The feedback for the curriculum has been taken from multiple stakeholders such as

1. Students
2. Academicians
3. Industry Experts (Lawyers)
4. Alumni

Following is the stakeholder wise feedback analysis and action taken report for the suggestions.

1. Students Feedback: -




Key Observations:

1. Alignment with Objectives: 43.6% rated it good, while 33.3% rated it average and only 2.6% rated it poorly.
2. Legal Knowledge & Practical Skills: 8.5% rated it good, but 28.2% rated it as average.
3. Critical Thinking & Legal Reasoning: 39.7% rated it good, while 29.5% rated it excellent.
4. Resource Relevance: 41% rated textbooks good, but 24.4% rated them average.
5. Employability Components (Internships, Moot Courts): 28.2% rated it average, while 25.6% rated it good.

Action taken

1. Maintain strong alignment but refine unclear areas
2. Introduce mandatory practical modules on drafting and case analysis in core subjects (target implementation: AY 2025-26).
3. More case-based discussions and problem-solving exercises.
4. Update reading materials with recent legal developments.
5. Strengthen internship programs and moot court participation.
- 6.

Academician Feedback



Key Observations:

1. Coverage of Core Legal Subjects: 75% rated the syllabus was excellent, 25% rated ordinary in respect to coverage of core legal subjects
2. Emerging Areas (AI, Cyber Law): 87.5% rated the syllabus, excellent, but 12.5% rated ordinary in respect of covering the emerging areas of legal profession.
3. Theory-Practice Balance: 87.5% rated that the syllabus is in perfectly balancing the theory and practical aspects of law.


Action taken

1. Ensure depth in foundational subjects.
2. Integrate new legal trends into syllabi
3. Continue moot courts and internships.
4. More law + tech/business courses.
5. Introduce mandatory practical modules on drafting and case analysis in core subjects (target implementation: AY 2025-26).


Industry Experts – Lawyers Feedback

Industry Expert Feedback


Alignment with Industry Expectations




Graduate Preparedness



Emerging Legal Domains (AI, Fintech, Data Privacy)



Interdisciplinary Knowledge



Key Observations:


1. Alignment with Industry Expectation: 100% rated that syllabus is at par with industry expectations.
2. Graduate Preparedness: 100% rated that syllabus is adequate for graduates to be prepared for the industry
3. Emerging Legal Domains: 100% rated that syllabus has incorporated with emerging legal domains.
4. Interdisciplinary Knowledge: 100% rated the syllabus, excellent and promoting interdisciplinary knowledge

Action Taken

1. Continue industry collaboration for curriculum updates.
2. More corporate law and negotiation training.
3. Introduce specialized courses in tech law.
4. Expand law + business/tech modules.

Alumni Feedback

Alumni Feedback



Key Observations:

1. Preparation for Real-World Challenges: 83.4% rated the syllabus excellent, but 16.7% rated it poor
2. Balance of Theory & Practice: 66.6% rated the syllabus good to excellent 16.7% rated it as average and 16.7% rated it poorly.
3. Emerging Areas (Cyber Law, AI, IPR): 66.6% rated the syllabus excellent, while 33.3% rated it average in respect of inclusion of emerging areas like Cyber law, AI and IPR.
4. Moot Courts & Internships: 66.6% rated the syllabus excellent, but 16.7% it poorly in respect of practical subjects like moot courts and internships.

Action Taken

1. Improve practical training (drafting, advocacy).
2. More simulations and real-case studies.
3. Introduce new electives on tech law.
4. Strengthen legal clinic programs.

Conclusion

The feedback received from students, academicians, industry experts, and alumni reflects a generally strong alignment between the syllabus and its intended objectives. Stakeholders expressed high satisfaction with core strengths of the curriculum, including critical thinking, legal reasoning, comprehensive subject coverage, and the effective balance between theory and practice. Industry experts, in particular, commended its relevance to modern legal demands, especially in emerging domains such as AI, cyber law, and fintech. While the syllabus is well-structured and industry-relevant, a few key gaps were identified. These include the need for enhanced hands-on practical training (drafting, moot courts, and internships), more up-to-date learning resources, and stronger integration of interdisciplinary skills, particularly law with technology and business. Alumni feedback similarly highlighted that, although most graduates feel well-prepared for real-world challenges, further emphasis on practical components would be beneficial. Moving forward, the targeted actions outlined in this report such as expanding clinical legal education, regularly updating course materials with recent legal developments, and introducing specialized tech-law modules will directly address these areas. These continuous improvements will further strengthen the curriculum and ensure that our graduates remain highly competent and competitive in the rapidly evolving legal landscape.